AR 1082697

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number** 10/086,986 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date March 1, 2002 First Named Inventor **FORM** Gerard O'Driscoll **Art Unit** 2697 **Examiner Name** Caschera, Antonio A. (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Attorney Docket Number** TD-166

100	al Number of Pages in This Submission	4
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
	Fee Transmittal Form  Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request  Information Disclosure Statement  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application  Reply to Missing Parts  under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attomey, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):  CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD  Remarks  Submission of Interview Summary
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT		
Firm Name Groover & Holmes		
Signature // ////		
Printed name Patrick C.R. Holmes		
Date	April 11, 2005	Reg. No. 46,380
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:  Signature		
Typed or printed name Sarah H. Lau Date April 11, 2005		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

In re application of:

O'Driscoll : Art Unit: 2697

AN 10/086,986 : Examiner: Caschera, Antonio A.

Filed: 03/01/2002 : Atty's Docket: TD-166

For: High Quality Antialiased Lines with Dual Sampling Pattern (confirmation

no. 6316)

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant hereby submits the substance of two separate interviews conducted with the Examiner on application number 10/086,986.

The first interview was conducted on March 23, 2005 between Patrick Holmes (representing Applicant) and Examiner Antonio Caschera. Agreement was not reached. Applicant and Examiner Caschera discussed the differences between the prior art and the claims of the present application, as well as the rejections under section 112 of the patent laws for an enabling specification. Examiner Caschera noted that his supervisor, Matthew Bella, was not available for that interview.

The second interview was conducted on April 11, 2005 between Patrick Holmes (representing Applicant) and Examiners Caschera and Bella. In that interview, agreement was reached that all claim rejections would be removed, including all rejections under sections 112 and 102 from the Office action of January 25, 2005. Examiner Bella stated that all rejections would be removed. Examiner Bella stated that if no new relevant prior art was found, the application would be allowed, with the following changes: (1) Applicant would add a flowchart and accompanying text based on the steps of claim 1, and that this flowchart and text would not constitute new matter since it only summarizes what was already in the specification; (2) Applicant would amend the independent claims (i.e., claims 1, 5, 13, 19, 22, and 28) to omit reference to the lack of use of an error term or pixel-by-pixel decisions, and that this amendment would be permissible. Examiner Bella requested that these changes be filed with the response to final Office action, and Applicant agreed.

Examiner Bella concluded the interview by stating that given these changes, the application would either be allowed or, if new relevant art was found, a new Office action would be sent to Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick C. R. Holmes, Reg. No. 46,380

Attorney for Applicant

**Customer Number 29106** 

Groover & Holmes Box 802889 Dallas, TX

Tel: 972.980.5840 Fax: 972.980.5841

Direct-dial: 972-980-5834.